



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,931	12/12/2003	Kevin Woehr	51668/THD/K163	2243
23363 7590 12/12/2007 CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP PO BOX 7068 PASADENA, CA 91109-7068			EXAMINER GRAY, PHILLIP A	
			ART UNIT 3767	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 12/12/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Interview Summary

Application No.

10/734,931

Applicant(s)

WOEHR ET AL.

Examiner

Phillip Gray

Art Unit

3767

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Phillip Gray. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Tom Dao. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 06 December 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Kulli (US 4,929,241).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

KEVIN C. SIRMONS  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

*Kevin C. Sirmons*

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

*Phillip Gray*  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's attorney kindly discussed the general nature of the invention "SPRING CLIP SAFETY IV CATHETER" and what they feel are their novel improvements over the prior art thereof. Further applicant's attorney discussed the claims and their scope as presently written. Specifically the language and claim limitations concerning the "cross" or "overlapping" first and second arms, and how the applicant feels his invention is novel or unique over the "Kulli" prior art of record. Examiner explained how, when given the broadest reasonable interpretation of the claim language, Kulli, and any obvious modifications of prior art, anticipated the claims as written. Examiner appreciated applicant's explanations concerning the amending the claim language to read that the arms "intersect". It was recommended that applicant amend the claims to greater define the aspects of the invention that they consider novel and reasons why this feature is not shown in the prior art and is nonobvious over the prior art of record (specifically this "intersecting" that the applicant's attorney discussed in his explanations of the applicant's inventions and the prior art of record. Therefore, currently the rejections stand as proper.

  
PAG